Page 9

percent of the companies had equipment affected by viruses this year.

Actually, the new political correct term for "virus," that we should all start using, is electronic microorganism. The era of the electronic micro -- electronic innocence is over, and it's clearly over. The encryption debate is one that is fundamental to private -- to personal privacy and to the ultimate success of electronic commerce.

And the various versions of the encryption legislation sitting before the House Rules Committee will have to be worked out and reconciled, but it is important for us to have this debate as a society.

Because society is both excited and threatened by the rapid pace of technological change currently underway.

And what is the character of this change?

Thomas Mann once said, "A great truth is a truth whose opposite is also a great truth."

In my opinion, the great truth of the Information Age is that the wondrous wire that brings new services to homes, businesses and schools, will have a certain Dickensian quality to it: It will be the best of wires and the worst of wires simultaneously.

It can uplift society as well as debase it.

It can promote electronic commerce, democratize mass

Page 10

media, allow people to telecommute to work and to educate themselves. New digital technologies and other innovations allow corporations to become more efficient, workers more productive, and businesses to conduct commerce almost effortlessly in digital dollars.

The same technology, however, may simultaneously avail corporations of the opportunity to track the clickstream of a citizen of the Net, to sneak company hands into a personal information cookie jar, and to use this database, along with other lists, to compile sophisticated, highly personal consumer profiles of people's hobbies, buying habits, financial information, health information, who they contact or converse with, when, and for how long.

In short, that wondrous wire may also allow digital desperadoes to roam the electronic frontier unchecked by any high-tech sheriff or adherence to any code of electronic ethics.

The fact is that the technology itself is neither good nor bad. It only becomes so when it is animated through human interaction and imbued with our values as a society.

Interestingly, 50 years ago, in 1947, right around the time the first electronic computer was created, a French Jesuit priest named Teilhard de

Page 11

Chardin, talked about this emerging Worldwide Web. He wrote, however, not about the sheer wonder of a linked network of machinery, but rather about the true intelligence of such a network, the human aspect of it.

In a book called The Formation of the Noosphere, a half century ago, he wrote the following:

"No one can deny that a network (a world network) of economic and psychic affiliations is being woven at ever increasing speed which envelops and constantly penetrates more deeply within each of us. With every day that passes, it becomes a little more impossible for us to act or think otherwise than collectively."

This philosophy foreshadowed what we would hear from Marshall McLuhan, who based much of his Global Village philosophy as a secular shorthand, in his own words, for much of Teilhard's philosophy. He built much of his on Teilhard's.

Teilhard is an appropriate person to use as a touchstone for a discussion on the console connection between cells and circuitry, because he was both theologian and scientist -- paleontologist by training.

As a student at Boston College, I learned what Teilhard envisioned 50 years ago, at the birth of the electronic computer, was a convergence of humans in a

single, massive noosphere (from the Greek meaning

Page 12

"noos," means "mind"). And although Teilhard articulated his vision using a religious lexicon, his concept of a web of human connectivity that would envelop the earth and be propelled by human consciousness, sounds remarkably similar to today's Net.

With a community, the whole becomes much greater than the sum of its parts. This was Teilhard's main point, and it's also true of computer networks from a technology standpoint.

And how do we ensure that these early console communities on the electronic frontier take root and grow? The thing to remember is that communities -- whether real or virtual -- thrive on trust.

Electronic commerce, therefore, will only succeed in our digital domain if there is trust and security and privacy, and an enforceable code of electronic ethics.

When companies surreptitiously sift out bits of the data stream and compile lists of highly personal information, this undermines trust in the community.

If Internet access providers fail to give parents the blocking technology necessary to deal with the sinister side of cyberspace, this discourages

parents and teachers and community libraries from having

youngsters freely use this technology.

When young kids can only read an on-line story, win a prize, or play a game, if they submit information about themselves and their families, then parents become rightly outraged.

The digital duality of virtual reality requires us to insist upon measures that establish trust. Without it, the web will wither into some lawless labyrinth of wires and switches. Electronic commerce will never truly take off in a Wild West-like environment because people won't trust it. We can't expect everyone in cyberspace to be some version of John Wayne or Annie Oakley protecting themselves, it won't work.

What can policymakers do, or not do, to hasten the adoption of measures for security, privacy, and trust in the electronic environment? Let me outline for you legislation that I have developed which tries to bring together and integrate the distinct public policy pieces that I believe we need in order to simultaneously advance Net civilization and Net American economic growth.

As many of you know, my privacy position is premised on the belief that regardless of the technology that consumers use, their privacy rights and

Page 14

expectations remain a constant. Whether they are using a phone, a TV, a TV clicker, a satellite dish, or a modem, every consumer should enjoy a Privacy Bill of Rights for the Information Age. These core rights are embodied in a proposal that I have advocated for many years, and I call it Knowledge, Notice, and No.

In short, consumers and parents should get the following three basic rights:

Number one, knowledge that information is being collected about them. This is very important because digital technologies increasingly allow people to electronically glean personal information about users surreptitiously. I would note here many Internet companies, for example, use cookies, unbeknownst to the user, and keep track of what Web sites a person visits.

Adequate and conspicuous notice that any personal information collected is intented by the recipient for reuse or resale.

And number three, the right of a consumer to say no, and to curtail or prohibit such reuse or sale of their personal information.

My legislation asks the FCC and FTC to look at how these three privacy rights can be exercised by consumers through industry standards and self-

regulation, technological tools that empower consumers

Page 15

directly, and finally, a legally binding regulatory backstop where the marketplace -- when the marketplace and technology fail to adequately protect the public interest.

Now, I realize that many observers will fret about the possibility of onerous government intervention. There are people who see a ne'er-do'well bureaucrat or knee-jerk regulator behind every tree in Washington. But my proposal is a middle ground between a purely marketplace approach on the one hand, and a more heavy-handed governmentwide Federal superagency to police the data industry.

I believe it is in the industry's interests to work towards practical solutions now before these issues get out of control. A marketplace solution can help to solve some of the problems, but not all of them. In particular, a purely marketplace approach will fail in instances where the customers have no idea that a commercial entity is using data in ways that customers disapprove. Frankly, it is too expensive for most consumers and next to impossible for such consumers to continually monitor whether and when commercial entities release personal information.

In the worst case scenario, leaving cyberspace customers unprotected against invasive or underhanded

Page 16

disclosure by those operating on the margins of acceptable conduct is terrible public policy. And, I might add, bad for everybody else's business. We shouldn't let the conduct of 5 percent of the marketplace pollute the environment for the 95 percent who are good corporate cyber-citizens.

I think the consumer needs legally enforceable privacy rights, period. Some of the current information practices of some of these companies simply ought to be against the law. That doesn't necessitate onerous government regulation. We can allow private sector contractual arrangements to take care of the privacy rights and informational needs of consumers and marketplace entities. But there must be a backstop. There has to be a privacy safety net, and every consumer should be guaranteed a minimum level of privacy protection. They can negotiate in the marketplace for better protection, if they want, but no one should be completely left without any recourse.

Ensuring the vision of Teilhard and McLuhan, of a Worldwide Web of human connectivity and economic and social progress is our dream. Making America the world leader in these technologies is our dream.

Well, as the Irish poet William Butler Yeats once said, "In dreams begins responsibility." But I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 17

think it is as a result, our responsibility to act to improve consumer privacy and parental empowerment while the Net is in its relative commercial infancy.

I think we should act to give legal rights to Internet companies to customize their network needs and get access to the unbundled elements of the local loop.

I also think we should revamp our nation's encryption policy to take note of the rapidly changing economic and political circumstances.

Right now, many of these issues are at a stalemate. But technology marches on and does not sit idly by waiting for policy to catch up.

I will be working in our committee with Chairman Tozan towards the goal of working on these issues in the weeks and months ahead. These are the critical issues that are going to ensure that, in fact, we fully maximize the potential of the usage of Internet technology. If we don't do it, you will not be able to convince the great mass of Middle America to use the technology. They are the ones with money in their pocket after all, and they still are technophobic to begin with, much less suspicious of any compromise of their personal information. So we must deal with the reality that a community, a business shopping center, is successful if ordinary, decent families believe they can

Page 18

come down and shop in security.

Well, if we're going to try to create a virtual reality version of that shopping center, we must give families that same sense of security, or else we will only maximize 10 percent of the potential that the Internet and all cyber technologies offer. That's the reality of it. Most of us, at a certain age, still have great apprehension about these technologies. So the government has to work hand-in-hand with the private sector, with you, who are in this room. And I know you're apprehensive about it.

And I had my best example of it when they closed down -- they were closing down Ft. Devins as part of the BRAC process in my district a couple of years ago. And I got a call, as Ft. Devins was closing very quickly, from a woman that said to me that her daughter was engaged to a soldier at Ft. Devins and they wanted to have the marriage completed before the soldier was transferred down to Texas, but there was only a couple of weeks left to go.

And so I called the colonel out at Ft. Devins and he couldn't get it done, and I had to completely go up the chain of command, and I got the commanding general and I made this personal, compelling request

that this young woman be allowed to marry the soldier

Page 19

before he's transferred to Texas. And the marriage took place, and very surprisingly, and gratifyingly, because it doesn't happen that often, the day after the wedding, I received a beautiful bouquet from the mother and the daughter for making the marriage possible.

But later that afternoon, I got another telephone call from Texas, and it just came from the soldier and he just got on the line and he said,
"Congressman, the next time, why don't you just mind your own damn business." So clearly there's a balance we're going to have to strike, and any deliberation which we make on these issues, and we'll try to be wise in doing so, but I know that in working with Chairman Tozan and Chairman Bliley, as we have on every issue over the past years, we'll be able to do so on a bipartisan basis towards the goal of advancing not only wise technologies in encryption, but wise technologies in all areas of privacy along the line in the years ahead.

I thank you for the invitation to address you this morning, and I thank Ron especially for that overly generous introduction. Thank you very much.

* * * * *

Page 20 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF COLORADO ss. 3 CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER) I, JOANN M. HANSEN, Registered Professional 4 Reporter and Notary Public, State of Colorado, do hereby 5 certify that the said cassette tape was transcribed by 6 7 me and thereafter reduced to typewritten form, 8 consisting of 20 pages herein; that the foregoing is a 9 true transcript of the proceedings had. I further 10 certify that I am not employed by, related to, nor of 11 counsel for any of the parties herein. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my 12 13 signature and seal this 4th day of November, 1997. 14 My commission expires February 11, 1999. 15 16 17 Joann M. Hansen 18 Registered Professional Reporter 19 20 21 22 23 7.3 24 25